

1 WO
2
3
4
5

6 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7 FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

8
9 United States of America,) No. CR-11-50074-PHX-DGC
10 Plaintiff,)
11 vs.) **DETENTION ORDER**
12 Heidi Welch,)
13 Defendant.)
14 _____)

15 On September 11, 2013, defendant Heidi Welch appeared before this Court on a petition
16 for revocation of supervised release. The Court considered the information provided to the
17 Court, and the arguments of counsel in determining whether the defendant should be released
18 on conditions set by the Court.

19 For the reasons fully explained on the record, the Court finds that the defendant, having
20 previously been convicted and placed on supervised release, and having appeared before the
21 Court in connection with a petition to revoke her supervised release, has failed to establish by
22 clear and convincing evidence that she is not likely to flee or pose a danger to the safety of the
23 community if released pursuant to Rule 46(d), and Rule 32.1(a)(6), Federal Rules of Criminal
24 Procedure, and 18 U.S.C. § 3143.

25 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that defendant be detained pending further proceedings.

26 DATED this 11th day of September, 2013.



27
28 _____)
David K. Duncan
United States Magistrate Judge